MTH 511a - 2020: Lecture 5

Instructor: Dootika Vats

The instructor of this course owns the copyright of all the course materials. This lecture material was distributed only to the students attending the course MTH511a: "Statistical Simulation and Data Analysis" of IIT Kanpur, and should not be distributed in print or through electronic media without the consent of the instructor. Students can make their own copies of the course materials for their use.

1 The Composition method

We have now learned two algorithms for sampling from a discrete distribution: the inverse transform method and the accept-reject algorithm. The inverse transform method can be used for *any* distribution and the accept-reject can be efficient if used properly.

For certain special distributions, it is easier to use a *composition method* for sampling.

Suppose we have an efficient way of simulating random variables from two pmfs $\{p_j^{(1)}\}$ and $\{p_j^{(2)}\}$, and we want to simulate from

$$\Pr(X = j) = \alpha p_j^{(1)} + (1 - \alpha) p_j^{(2)} \quad j \ge 0 \text{ where } 0 < \alpha < 1.$$

First you should note that the above composition pmf is a valid pmf since $\sum_{j} \Pr(X = j) = 1$. How would we sample in such a situation?

Let $X_1 \sim P^{(1)}$ and $X_2 \sim P^{(2)}$. Set

$$X = \begin{cases} X_1 & \text{with probability } \alpha \\ X_2 & \text{with probability } 1 - \alpha \end{cases}$$

Algorithm 1 Composition method

1: Draw $U \sim U[0, 1]$

2: if $U < \alpha$ then simulate $X_1 \sim P^{(1)}$ else simulate X_2 and stop

Proof. We will show that Pr(X = j) is what is desired. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(X = j) \\ &= \Pr(X = j, U < \alpha) + \Pr(X = j, \alpha \le U < 1) \\ &= \Pr(X_1 = j, U < \alpha) + \Pr(X_2 = j, \alpha \le U < 1) \quad \text{(by law of total probability)} \\ &= \Pr(X_1 = j) \Pr(U < \alpha) + \Pr(X_2 = j) \Pr(\alpha \le U < 1) \quad \text{(by independence of } U \text{ and } X_1, X_2) \\ &= \alpha p_j^{(1)} + (1 - \alpha) p_j^{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

We can set this up more generally for *n* different distributions. In general, F_i , i = 1, ..., n are distribution functions, and α_i are such that $0 < \alpha_i < 1$ for all *i* and $\sum_i \alpha_i = 1$. The composition (or mixture) distribution is

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i F_i(x) \,.$$

Let $X_i \sim F_i$. To simulate from the composition F, set

$$X = \begin{cases} X_1 & \text{with probability } \alpha_1 \\ X_2 & \text{with probability } \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n & \text{with probability } \alpha_n \end{cases}$$

Example 1 (Zero inflated Poisson distribution). A Poisson(λ) distribution usually has a small mass at 0. But sometimes, we need a counting distribution with large mass at 0. For example, consider the random variable X being the number of COVID-19 patients tested positive every hour. Many hours of the day this number may be 0, and then this number can be quite high for some hours.

In such a case, we may use the zero inflated Poisson distribution (ZIP). Recall that if $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

$$\Pr(X=k) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!} \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

If $X \sim \operatorname{ZIP}(\pi, \lambda)$

$$\Pr(X=k) = \begin{cases} \pi + (1-\pi)e^{-\lambda} & \text{if } k = 0\\ (1-\pi)e^{-\lambda}\frac{\lambda^k}{k!} & \text{if } k = \{1, 2, \dots\} \end{cases}.$$

Note that the mean of a ZIP is $(1 - \pi)\lambda < \lambda$ since more mass is given at 0.

We will use the composition method to sample from the ZIP distribution. To sample from a ZIP, first $p_j^{(1)}$ be defined as

$$\Pr(X_1 = 0) = 1$$
 and $\Pr(X_1 \neq 0) = 0$,

and let $X_2 \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$. Define the pmf:

$$\Pr(X = k) = \pi p_k^{(1)} + (1 - \pi) p_k^{(2)}.$$

Then $X \sim \text{ZIP}(\pi, \lambda)$. To see this, plug in k = 0 and $k = 1, 2, \ldots$ above:

Algorithm 2 Zero inflated Poisson distribution
1: Draw $U \sim U[0, 1]$
2: if $U < \pi$ then $X = 0$ else simulate $X \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$

2 Bernoulli factories

We have learned how to sample from a Bernoulli distribution. In this section, we learn some tools to draw from a Bernoulli(f(p)) for some specific function f using only Bernoulli(p) draws.

Suppose you have $X_1, X_2, \ldots \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p)$. Now suppose we wish to construct a Bernoulli random variable with a parameter that is a function of p, f(p). That is, we want to simulate $Y \sim \text{Bern}(f(p))$. This process is called a Bernoulli factory.

There is no universal algorithm for all f(p), but we can construct one on a case by case basis.

Example 2. Suppose we can simulate $X \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. Can we simulate a Bernoulli random variable with success probability

$$f(p) = p^2(1-p)$$
?

We are free to draw as many samples as we want from Bern(p).

So if we draw three independent samples from Bern(p) and look at the event: $\{X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0\}$. Then

$$\Pr(X_1 = 1, X_2 = 1, X_3 = 0) = \Pr(X_1 = 1) \Pr(X_2 = 1) \Pr(X_1 = 0) = p^2(1 - p).$$

Thus, the following algorithm returns 1 with probability $f(p) = p^2(1-p)$.

Algorithm 3 Bernoulli factory for $f(p) = p^2(1-p)$

1: Draw $X_1 \sim \text{Bern}(p)$ 2: **if** $X_1 = 0$ **then** 3: set X = 0, stop 4: Simulate $X_2 \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. 5: **if** $X_2 = 0$ **then** 6: set X = 0, stop 7: Simulate $X_3 \sim \text{Bern}(p)$. 8: **if** $X_3 = 1$ **then** 9: X = 0, stop 10: Set X = 1.

The above returns X = 1 with probability $p^2(1-p)$. There is another even simpler method.

Note that for $X_1, X_2, X_3 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \text{Bern}(p)$. Consider $X = X_1 X_2 (1 - X_3)$, then

$$\Pr[X_1 X_2 (1 - X_3) = 1] = \Pr[X_1 = 1] \Pr[X_2 = 1] \Pr[1 - X_3 = 1] = p^2 (1 - p),$$

where the decomposition is because the only way $X_1X_2(1-X_3) = 1$ is if all three terms are equal to 1.

Algorithm 4 Another Bernoulli factory for $f(p) = p^2(1-p)$

1: Draw $X_1, X_2, X_3 \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \operatorname{Bern}(p)$ 2: Return $X = X_1 X_2 (1 - X_3)$

Similarly other polynomials of p can be considered.

3 Questions to think about

- 1. Can you construct a similar zero inflated Binomial distribution? How would you sample from it?
- 2. Try setting up a Bernoulli factory for $p^5(1-p)^2$.
- 3. I claim Algorithm 3 is better than Algorithm 4. Why?